

論文の内容の要約

氏名	龔 穎婷 (Yingting Gong)
学位の種類	博士 (農学)
学府又は研究科・専攻	連合農学研究科 農業環境工学専攻
指導を受けた大学	東京農工大学
学位論文名	Effects of tillage regimes and cover crop treatments on greenhouse gas emissions and carbon sequestration on Andosols, Japan (黒ボク土壌における耕うん方法とカバークロップの利用が地球温暖化ガスの排出と炭素隔離に及ぼす影響)

【論文の内容の要約】

Introduction

No-tillage (NT) and the introduction of cover crops, owing to their positive effects on soil organic carbon (SOC) sequestration and crop yields, are potential agricultural practices that both support food security under the new realities of climate change and alleviate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Characterization of soil carbon dioxide (CO₂) emission responses to different agricultural managements in specific soil and climate conditions is critical for an accurate estimate of soil carbon (C) storage and loss processes. However, the effects of the combination of long-term NT systems and cover crops on non-carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions and SOC sequestration have not been adequately documented, particularly in East Asia. Additionally, there is a lack of studies on the effects of tillage and cover crops on soil CO₂ emissions on Andosols in the humid, subtropical climate.

Materials and methods

In chapter 2, We conducted a split-plot field experiment involving two tillage systems [NT and moldboard plowing (MP)] and three cover crops, namely, fallow (FA), hairy vetch (HV), and rye (RY), to investigate the potential of treatment under NT with cover crops for alleviating global warming potential (GWP) while preserving sustainable crop production in a long-term organic soybean field in a humid subtropical region. In chapter 3, A split-plot field experiment was conducted from May 2017 to November 2018 comprising two tillage systems (NT and MP) and three cover crops: FA, HV, and RY, to quantify soil CO₂ emissions and identify the factors accounting for CO₂ emissions

among NT and MP systems under different cover crop treatments at an experimental site established in 2002 in Kanto, Japan. In chapter 4, the driving factors e.g., physical (temperature and volumetric water content), chemical (SOC stock), and biological (microbial biomass and community) soil factors, in asynchronous CO₂ emission responses from Andosols caused by two tillage regimes i.e., no-tillage (NT) and moldboard plow (MP), and two cover crop systems i.e., fallow (FA) and rye (RY), were assessed. A 2.5-years consecutive study was conducted from October 2018 to April 2021 using a field trial (established in 2002) in a humid subtropical climate in Japan.

Results and discussion

NT had a slightly higher soybean yield than MP, although tillage methods and cover crop treatments had no significant effects on soybean yield. Cover crop treatments rather than tillage methods significantly affected methane (CH₄) emissions; under FA and RY treatments, we observed CH₄ uptakes, whereas, under HV, we observed CH₄ emissions. In contrast, rather than cover crop treatments, tillage methods affected nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions. Higher WFPS and soil bulk density under NT resulted in significantly higher annual N₂O emissions than those under MP. However, under NT, the annual SOC sequestration rate significantly increased compared with that under MP, the global warming potential (GWP) caused by CH₄ and N₂O emissions was fully offset by net CO₂ retention under NT. Additionally, treatment under NT reduced net GWP and yield-scaled GWP to a significantly greater degree than did treatment under MP. Treatments under NT with RY cover crop had the lowest net GWP (−2324 kg CO₂ equivalent ha^{−1} year^{−1}) and yield-scaled GWP (−1037 kg CO₂ equivalent Mg^{−1} soybean yield).

Higher soil CO₂ daily emissions at 80% of the measurements and significantly greater CO₂ seasonal and annual emissions were observed in the NT system as compared to the MP system. The relationship between CO₂ daily flux and soil temperature was well-described by exponential functions ($p < 0.001$). Soil volumetric water content (θ_v) was significantly positively associated with soil CO₂ daily emissions when soil temperature ranged from 10°C to 20°C ($p < 0.05$) and 20°C to 30°C ($p < 0.01$), and the response of soil CO₂ flux to soil temperature and θ_v was well-described by a multiplicative model (RMSE: 1.2920, R²: 0.7777). Although there was no difference in soil temperature between NT and MP, significantly higher soil θ_v was found in NT than in MP. In addition, significant exponential correlations were observed between SOC stocks and annual CO₂ emissions in four different soil layers (0–2.5 cm, 0–7.5 cm, 0–15 cm, and 0–30 cm). NT increased SOC stocks significantly compared to MP at 0–2.5 cm

($p < 0.001$) and 0–7.5 cm ($p < 0.05$) depth while no significant SOC stock difference at 0–15 cm and 0–30 cm depth between NT and MP were observed.

Overall, NT and RY cover crop systems increased soil CO₂ emission relative to emissions with MP and FA systems; indeed, the combination of MP and FA hampered CO₂ evolution from the soil surface on a daily or annual scale. Soil CO₂ emissions were significantly positively correlated with soil temperature, soil volumetric water content at a depth of 0–12 cm, SOC stock at various soil layers (0–2.5, 0–7.5, 0–15, and 0–30 cm), and soil microbial biomass in summer determined as soil ATP content, substrate-induced respiration, and ergosterol content. Changes in soil microbial biomass and community due to tillage regimes rather than cover crop treatments were associated with asynchronous CO₂ emission responses.

Conclusions

These findings suggest that (1) treatments under NT with cover crop systems—especially RY cover crop—in the long-term organic soybean field maintains sustainable crop production and reduce net GWP and yield-scaled GWP, which will be an effective climate-smart agriculture practice in the humid, subtropical regions prevailing in Kanto, Japan; (2) The NT and RY cover crop treatments positively affected soil volumetric water content, SOC stock, soil microbial biomass, and the abundance of *Bacteroidetes*, *Basidiomycota* and *Ascomycota*-affiliated species known as organic matter decomposers; consequently, these treatments stimulated CO₂ emissions.